# stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZN 39/71

DECEMBER

J. DE VRIES
PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS AND THE STONE-ČECH
COMPACTIFICATION FOR TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

EK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM AMSTERDAM

BIBLIOTHEEK

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat 49, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.

### 1. Introduction

In [6] we introduced the concept of an S-group. A topological group G is said to be an S-group of it is a non-compact topological Hausdorff-group such that  $\beta G$  is a compact topological group in which G is (canonically) embedded as a dense subgroup. Such groups have been considered earlier by Glicksberg [1] and Kister [5].

In [1], Glicksberg remarked that it is easy to see that an S-group is pseudocompact, but he left open the converse question. We shall prove that a topological group G is an S-group if and only if G is pseudocompact and non-compact. This will be a direct consequence of the fact that an arbitrary product of pseudocompact topological groups is pseudocompact and the simplest case of Theorem 1 of [1]. The proof that a product of pseudocompact topological groups is pseudocompact is surprisingly simple if one characterizes pseudocompactness of a topological group in terms of its Bohr compactification. We conclude with some remarks about 0-dimensional S-groups.

#### 2. Preliminaries.

In the sequel all topological spaces are Hausdorff spaces. If X is a topological space, C(X) will denote the Banach algebra of all complex-valued bounded continuous functions on X (pointwise defined operations and supremumnorm). A subset A of X is said to be  $C^*$ -embedded in X if every  $f \in C(A)$  can be extended to an element of C(X). A topological space X is called <u>pseudocompact</u> if every continuous real-valued function on X is bounded. There are several characterizations of pseudocompactness. We mention:

- 2.1 THEOREM. Let X be a completely regular topological space.

  The following conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) X is pseudocompact.
  - (ii) For any decreasing sequence  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of non-empty open sets in X,  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{V}_n \neq \emptyset$ .

(iii) Any sequence  $\{W_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of non-empty, pairwise disjoint, open sets in X has a cluster point)<sup>1</sup>.

(iv) Any non-void closed  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $\beta X$  meets X. PROOF. (i)  $\iff$  (ii) : C.f. [1]; (i)  $\iff$  (iii) : c.f.[2], 9.13, and for (i)  $\iff$  (iv), c.f. [1] (compare also [2], 6 I 1).

Recall that  $\beta X$  (X a completely regular space) denotes the Stone-Čech compactification of X, that is, a compact topological space in which X is C\*-embedded as a dense subspace;  $\beta X$  is unique up to a homeomorphism which leaves X pointwise invariant.  $\beta X$  is characterized by the property of being a compact space in which X is densely embedded such that any continuous function from X into a compact topological space has a continuous extension to all of  $\beta X$ . There is a close relationship between pseudocompactness and Stone-Čech compactifications: c.f. 2.1 above. Moreover, a well known theorem of Glicksberg [1] states that  $\beta(\Pi X_{\alpha}) = \Pi \beta X_{\alpha}$  if and only if  $\Pi X_{\alpha}$  is pseudocompact (here, for  $\alpha \in A$   $\alpha \in A$   $\alpha \in A$   $\alpha \in A$  where  $\alpha \in A$  is a completely regular space). We need only the simplest case of this theorem, the proof of which is quite straightforward:

2.2 THEOREM. If X and Y are completely regular spaces and if  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact, then the canonical injection of  $X \times Y$  into  $\beta X \times \beta Y$  extends to a homeomorphism of  $\beta (X \times Y)$  onto  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ .

PROOF. C.f. [1].

If G is a topological group, we denote the <u>Bohr compactification</u> of G by  $(\alpha, G_c)$ . This means that  $G_c$  is a compact topological group and that  $\alpha: G \to G_c$  is a continuous homomorphism of G onto a dense subgroup of  $G_c$  such that for any continuous homomorphism  $\psi$  of G into a compact topological group H there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\widetilde{\psi}: G_c \to H$  such that  $\psi = \widetilde{\psi} \circ \alpha$ . This is analoguous to the characterization of a  $\beta X$  mentioned above; the analogon of the  $C^*$ -embedding of X into  $\beta X$  is : if  $f: G \to C$  is an almost periodic function (c.f. [3],18.2 for a definition),

<sup>) 1</sup> That is: any such a sequence is not locally finite.

then an  $\tilde{f} \in C(G_c)$  exists such that  $f = \tilde{f} \circ \alpha$ . A Bohr compactification  $(\alpha,G_c)$  of G always exists (c.f. [4] for references) and is unique up to a topological isomorphism which leaves  $\alpha(G)$  pointwise invariant. Hence we may speak about "the" Bohr compactification of G. In general,  $\alpha:G\to G_c$  is not injective, and even if  $\alpha$  is injective (for instance, if G is a locally compact abelian group)  $\alpha$  need not be a homeomorphism. However, we have:

- 2.3 THEOREM. Let G be a topological group. The following are equivalent:
  - (i) α is a topological embedding of G into G.
  - (ii) G is totally bounded.
  - (iii) G is a subgroup of a compact topological group K. In this case,  $G_c$  may be identified with the closure of G in any compact topological group  $K_0$  in which G is embedded as a subgroup, and  $\alpha$  may be identified with the inclusion mapping of G in its closure.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) are well-known and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is easy. For proofs we refer to [6], Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.2.

Every topological group G is completely regular ([3], 8.4), hence topologically embedded in its Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta G$ . The embedding mapping will always be denoted by  $\gamma$ . An <u>S-group</u> is a non-compact topological group G such that  $\beta G$  is a compact topological group in which G is embedded by  $\gamma$  as a dense subgroup. In Section 4 of [6] we exhibited a large variety of non-S-groups. Moreover, we have (c.f. [6], finctrems 3.3 and 3.8):

- 2.4 THEOREM. Let G be a topological group. The following are equivalent:
  - (i) G is an S-group.
  - (ii) C(G) is exactly the space of all almost periodic functions on G.
  - (iii) There exists a homeomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\beta G$  onto G such that  $\alpha = \overline{\alpha} \circ \gamma$ .
    - (iv) G is totally bounded and every  $f \in C(G)$  is right uniformly continuous.

PROOF. The proofs are rather trivial, using the various characterizations of  $(\alpha,G_c)$  and  $(\gamma,\beta G)$ , Theorem 2.3 and the fact that all almost periodic functions are (left and right) uniformly continuous.

## 3. The existence of S-groups.

3.1 PROPOSITION. If G is a non-compact group, and G × G is pseudo-compact, then G is an S-group.

PROOF. Since G × G is pseudocompact we may identify the Stone-Čech compactification of G  $\times$  G with  $(\gamma \times \gamma, \beta G \times \beta G)$ , where  $(\gamma, \beta G)$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of G (c.f. 2.2). Let p :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$  denote the multiplication mapping, that is, p(x,y) = xy for  $x, y \in G$ . Because p is continuous, p has a continuous extension  $\overline{p}$ :  $\beta(G \times G) \rightarrow \beta G$ . By the identification, mentioned above, p may be regarded as a continuous mapping from  $\beta G \times \beta G$  into  $\beta G$ . Since the restriction of p to  $G \times G$  is p, and  $G \times G \times G$  is dense in  $\beta G \times \beta G \times \beta G$ , the fact that p is associative implies that  $\overline{p}$  is associative. In the same way it can be seen, that  $\overline{p}(e,x) = \overline{p}(x,e) = x$  for all  $x \in \beta G$ . Hence  $\beta G$  is a topological semigroup with identity e, containing G as a dense subgroup. By a similar procedure, the continuous mapping  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  from G onto G has a continuous extension to &G, which we also denote by  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ :  $\beta G \to \beta G$ . Because the continuous mappings  $x \mapsto \overline{p}(x, x^{-1})$ ,  $x \mapsto p(x^{-1},x)$  and  $x \mapsto e$  from  $\beta G$  into  $\beta G$  coincide on the dense subset G of  $\beta$ G, they coincide on all of  $\beta$ G. Hence

$$\overline{p}(x,x^{-1}) = \overline{p}(x^{-1},x) = e$$

for all  $x \in \beta G$ . Thus  $\beta G$  is a topological group in which G is a dense subgroup.

3.2 Let  $\{K_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  be any set of topological groups, and let  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$  denote the subspace of the cartesian productspace  $\Pi$   $K_{\alpha}$ , consisting of all points  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  such that, for at most countably many  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} \neq \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$ , the identity of  $K_{\alpha}$ . It is easy to see that  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ 

is a dense subgroup of H K  $_{\alpha}.$  Moreover, if, for all  $\alpha$   $\epsilon$  A, K  $_{\alpha}$  is compact,

then  $\sum$  is countably compact,hence pseudocompact(any sequence in  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A} K_{\alpha}$  has  $_{\alpha\in A}$ 

a cluster point y  $\epsilon$  N K and there cannot be more than countably many  $\alpha \epsilon A$ 

such that  $y_{\alpha} \neq e_{\alpha}$ , so that  $y \in \sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ ).

As far as we know the first proof of our next proposition is given by Glicksberg in [1]. Glicksberg makes use of a technique which enables him to state that any f  $\in$  C ( $\sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ ) does depend on not too many coordinates.

A similar technique is used by Kister in [5] to prove the same proposition. We shall give another proof which avoids these techniques (we use only 3.1, that is, we use only Theorem 1 of [1] for products of finitely many factors).

3.3 PROPOSITION. If  $\{K_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is any uncountable set of compact topological groups, then the proper subgroup  $\sum\limits_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$  of  $\prod\limits_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$  is an S-group.

PROOF. Since A is uncountable,  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$  is a proper subgroup of  $\prod\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$ . Because  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$  is dense in  $\prod\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$ , this implies that  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$  is not compact. By 3.1 we need only to prove, that  $(\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha})\times (\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha})$  is

pseudocompact. This follows immediately from the pseudocompactness of  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A} (K_\alpha\times K_\alpha) \text{ (c.f. the remark, preceding our proposition) and the fact that the mapping}$ 

$$((x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}, (y_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}) \longmapsto ((x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in A}$$

defines a homeomorphism from (  $\Pi$   $K_{\alpha}$ ) × (  $\Pi$   $K_{\alpha}$ ) onto  $\Pi$  ( $K_{\alpha}$  ×  $K_{\alpha}$ ), which sends (  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ ) × (  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ ) onto  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} (K_{\alpha} \times K_{\alpha})$ .

3.4 COROLLARY. If  $\{K_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is any uncountable set of compact topological groups, then  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$  is the Stone-Čech compactification of  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ .

PROOF. Since  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A} K_{\alpha}$  is an S-group, its Stone-Čech compactification and its Bohr compactification coincide (c.f. (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iii) in 2.4). Since  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A} K_{\alpha}$  is a dense subgroup of the compact group  $\prod\limits_{\alpha\in A} K_{\alpha}$ , the desired areal follows from 2.3.

3.5 REMARK. We provided a class of S-groups G such that G and  $G \times G$  are pseudocompact, even countably compact. There are, however, S-groups which are not countably compact.

To get an example, we first observe that if  $G_0$  is any S-group, and if H is a subgroup of  $\beta G_0$  such that  $G_0 \subseteq H \subsetneq \beta G_0$ , then  $\beta H = \beta G_0$ , hence H is an S-group. Now let A be an uncountable set,  $K_{\alpha} = \mathbb{T}^{-1}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  and  $G_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ . Let H be the subset of  $\Pi$   $K_{\alpha}$ , consisting of all  $X = (x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  such that  $X_{\alpha} = \exp(2\pi i t_{\alpha})$  with  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , except for at most countably many  $\alpha \in A$ . By 3.4,  $\Pi$   $K_{\alpha} = \beta G_0$ , hence  $G_0 \subseteq H \subsetneq \beta G_0$ .

Thus H is an S-group. But it is easy to see that H is not countably compact (this example is due to J.M. Kister [5]).

# 4. A characterization of S-groups.

4.1 In this section we show that the class of S-groups is exactly the class of non-compact, pseudocompact topological groups. As a motivation for the method of proof that a non-compact, pseudocompact group is an S-group we wish to make the following remarks.

The result follows easily from 3.1 as soon as we have established that an arbitrary product of pseudocompact topological groups is pseudocompact. One of the characterizations of pseudocompactness for a completely regular space X is 2.1 (iv): every non-void closed  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $\beta X$  meets X. If one tries to prove that a product  $\Pi$   $X_{\alpha}$  has this pro-

<sup>)1</sup> Tralways denotes the circle group  $\{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \& \mid \lambda \mid = 1\}$ .

Now it is natural, indeed, to consider Stone-Čech compactifications in connection with pseudocompactness. However, a pseudocompact topological group G turns out to be totally bounded (c.f. the proof of 4.2 below), and in view of 2.3 it may be usefull to characterize pseudocompactness of G in terms of the Bohr compactification G of G. This has the advantage that the Bohr compactification of a product is the product of the Bohr compactifications (for totally bounded groups this is trivial in view of 2.3, but it is true for arbitrary topological groups; c.f. [4]). Such a characterization can be achieved:

- 4.2 PROPOSITION. Let G be a topological group. The following are equivalent:
  - (i) G is pseudocompact.
  - (ii) G is a dense subgroup of a compact group G and every non-void  $G_{\hat{S}}$ -set in  $\hat{G}$  meets G.

PROOF. (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). If G is pseudocompact, then G is totally bounded. Suppose not. Then there is a neighbourhood U of e and a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  in G such that the sets  $x_1$ U are mutually disjoint. Let V be a symmetrical neighbourhood of e such that  $V^2 \subseteq U$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_1V\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is locally finite, contradicting the pseudocompactness of G. By 2.3, G is a dense subgroup of a compact topological group G. Suppose a non-void  $G_0$ -subset of G is contained in  $G \setminus G$ . Then it is easy to construct a continuous function  $f: G \to [0,1]$  such that

 $\{x \mid x \in \widehat{G} \& f(x) = 0 \} \subseteq F \subseteq G_{c} \setminus G.$ 

The function  $x \mapsto 1/f(x)$  is continuous and not bounded on G, contradicting the pseudocompactness of G.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\{W_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a descending sequence of open sets in G. We must prove that  $\overset{\circ}{\cap} \overset{\circ}{W}_n \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\overset{\circ}{W}_n$  is the closure of  $W_n$  in G (cf (i)  $\iff$  (ii) of 2.1).

Now G is a dense subgroup of a compact group G, and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

there is an open set  $U_n$  in  $\widehat{G}$  such that  $W_n = G \cap U_n$ . We may assume that  $U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If we denote the closure of a set  $\widehat{G}$  with  $\widehat{A}$ , then

$$\widetilde{W}_{n} = G \cap \overline{W}_{n} = G \cap \overline{U}_{n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{W}_n = G \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_n$ , it will clearly be sufficient to prove that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_n$  contains a non-void  $G_{\delta}$ -set.

Take, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n \in U_n$ , and let  $V_n$  be an open neighbourhood of the identy in G such that  $x_n \ V_n \subseteq U_n$ . Then  $N:=\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$  is a non-void

 $G_{\delta}$  in  $\widehat{G}$  (it is even a normal subgroup of  $\widehat{G}$ , and if one takes  $\overline{V}_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$ , N is closed in  $\widehat{G}$ ). Since  $\widehat{G}$  is compact, the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has a clusterpoint x in  $\widehat{G}$ .

Let  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then xy is clusterpoint of the sequence  $\{x_n y\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\widehat{G}$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $y \in V_n$ , hence  $x_n y \in x_n V_n \subseteq U_n$ . Thus any neighbourhood 0 of xy in G meets  $U_n$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Because  $U_{k+1} \subseteq U_k$  for all k, this implies that  $0 \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $xy \in \overline{U}_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have proved that the non-void  $G_{\delta}$ -set  $x\mathbb{N}$  is contained in  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_n$ .

4.3 THEOREM. Let A be a non-void set and, for all  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $G_{\alpha}$  be a topological group. Then II  $G_{\alpha}$  is pseudocompact if and only if, for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $G_{\alpha}$  is pseudocompact.

PROOF. Since every  $G_{\alpha}$  is a continuous image of  $\Pi$   $G_{\alpha}$ , pseudocompact- $\alpha \in A$ 

ness of the product implies pseudocompactness of the factors.

Conversely, suppose each  $G_{\alpha}$  is pseudocompact. Then for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $G_{\alpha}$  is a dense subgroup of a compact group  $\widehat{G}_{\alpha}$ , and every non-void  $G_{\alpha}$ -set in  $\widehat{G}_{\alpha}$ meets  $G_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $\Pi$   $G_{\alpha}$  is a dense subgroup of the compact group  $\alpha \in A$ 

If  $G_{\alpha}$ , and the proof is finished if we show, that given any non-void  $\alpha \in A$   $G_{\delta}\text{-set F in }\Pi$   $G_{\alpha}$ , F \cap \Pi \ G\_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset\$ : then we know by 4.2 that  $\Pi$   $G_{\alpha}$   $\alpha \in A$  is pseudocompact.

Fix any x  $\epsilon$  F. It is easy to see that there are open sets U in  $\widehat{\Pi}$   $\widehat{G}_{\alpha}$  such that  $\alpha \epsilon A$ 

$$x \in U_n = \prod_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha,\alpha}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $U_{n,\alpha}$  open in  $\widehat{G}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  and, in addition  $U := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq F$  (we do not need the fact that the  $U_{n,\alpha}$  can be chosen such that  $U_{n,\alpha} = \widehat{G}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in A \setminus A_n$ , where  $A_n$  is some finite subset of A). For each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $F_{\alpha} := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n,\alpha}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $\widehat{G}_{\alpha}$ , and  $X_{\alpha} \in F_{\alpha}$ , so that  $F_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ . Then we know that  $F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ , say  $P_{\alpha} \in F_{\alpha} \cap G_{\alpha}$ . Let  $P := (P_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ ; then

Thus  $F \cap \Pi G_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\Pi G_{\alpha}$  is pseudocompact.  $\alpha \in A$ 

4.4 THEOREM. A topological group G is pseudocompact if and only if either G is an S-group or G is compact.

PROOF. Let G be pseudocompact and non-compact. By 4.3, G × G is pseudo-compact, hence G is an S-group by 3.1.

Conversely, suppose G is an S-group. From (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (iv) of 2.4 we know that G is totally bounded and that every  $f \in C(G)$  is uniformly continuous. Suppose G is not pseudocompact. Then there is a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets in G which is locally finite. Hence there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in G and a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of neighbourhoods of the identity e of G such that the sequence  $\{x_nw_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is locally finite, and the sets  $x_nw_n$  are pairwise disjoint. In addition, we may suppose that  $w_{n+1} \subseteq w_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Because G is completely regular, for every n  $\epsilon$  N there is a continuous function f<sub>n</sub>: G  $\rightarrow$  [0,1] such that

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x = x \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin x \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin x \end{cases}$$

Note that  $x_n \stackrel{W}{n+1} \subseteq x_n \stackrel{W}{n} (n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Since the sequence  $\{x_n \stackrel{W}{n}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is locally finite, the well defined, bounded function  $f:=\sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty f_n$  is continuous, hence uniformly continuous. This implies that there is a neighbourhood U of e in G such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $(x,y) \in G \times G$ ,  $x^{-1}y \in U$ . In particular, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\forall y \in G : y \in x_n U \implies f(y) > \frac{1}{2}.$$

However, for all  $y \in x_n W_n$ , we have  $f(y) = f_n(y)$ , thus

$$x_n \cup x_n \cup x_n$$

Hence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U \cap W_n \subseteq U \cap W_{n+1}$ , and this implies

$$U \cap W_1 \subseteq U \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$$
.

In particular, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n(U \cap W_1) \subseteq x_n W_n$ . Consequently, the non-void open sets  $x_n(U \cap W_1)$  are mutually disjoint, contradicting the total boundedness of G. Thus, if G is an S-group, G is pseudocompact. Finally, it is trivial that compactness of G implies pseudocompactness.

- 4.5 REMARK. The behaviour of the class of S-groups under the forming of products, subgroups and quotients is the same as the behaviour of pseudocompact spaces under these operations. But in some cases we can say more. We state explicitly:
- 4.6 PROPOSITION. Let G be an S-group. A non-compact subgroup H of G is an S-group if and only if H is C\*-embedded in G.

PROOF. Note first, that H is a dense subgroup of the compact topological group  $\overline{H}$ , where  $\overline{H}$  denotes the closure of H in the compact topological group  $\beta G$ . If H is an S-group, it follows from 2.3 and 2.4 that any compact topological group in which H is a dense subgroup, may be identified with  $\beta H$ . In particular,  $\beta H = \overline{H}$ . Hence, by [2], 6.9, H is C\*-embedded in G.

The converse statement may be derived also from [2], 6.9: if H is  $C^*$ -embedded in G,  $\beta H = \overline{H}$ , hence  $\beta H$  is a topological group.

- 4.7 REMARKS.  $1^{\circ}$ . In general, a pseudocompact subspace of a pseudocompact space need not be C\*-embedded, and a C\*-embedded subspace of a pseudocompact space need not be pseudocompact.
- $2^6$ . In general, a non-compact subgroup of an S-group is not an S-group (hence not C\*-embedded). Let A be an uncountable set,  $K_{\alpha} = T$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $H_0$  a dense proper subgroup of T and  $G = \sum_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha}$ . Then G is an

S-group, and G contains a copy H of  $H_0$  as a subgroup. Since  $H_0$  is not an S-group (c.f.[6], 3.10), H is not an S-group.

- 3°. An open subgroup of a topological group G is C\*-embedded in G. This follows immediately from the fact that G is the disjoint union of the distinct left cosets of H in G, each of which is open in G and homeomorphic with H.
- 4.8 PROPOSITION. Let  $\{G_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  be a set of non-compact topological groups. Then  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} G_{\alpha}$  is an S-group if and only if, for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $G_{\alpha}$  is an S-group.

PROOF. Follows immediately from 4.3 and 4.4

4.9 REMARK. If in 4.8 the non-compactness of the  $G_{\alpha}$ 's is not given, then the fact that II  $G_{\alpha}$  is an S-group implies that every  $G_{\alpha}$  is pseu-  $\alpha \in A$ 

docompact, hence for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $G_{\alpha}$  is either compact or  $G_{\alpha}$  is an S-group. Since  $\Pi$   $G_{\alpha}$  is not compact, there is at least one  $\alpha$  for which  $\alpha \in A$ 

 $G_{\alpha}$  is not compact. In any case, the following is true: a non-compact topological group G is an S-group if and only if  $G \times K_0$  is an S-group for some compact topological group K. In that case  $G \times K$  is an S-group

for every compact topological group K.

4.10. PROPOSITION. Let G be an S-group, H a non-compact topological group and  $\phi$  a continuous homomorphism of G onto H.

Then H is an S-group.

PROOF. Immediate from 4.4 and the fact that continuous images of pseudocompact spaces are pseudocompact. Nevertheless, we will give an amusing alternative proof, that does not make use of the pseudocompactness criterion for S-groups, but of the equivalence of (ii) and (i) of 2.4. If K is any topological group, let A(K) denote the space of almost periodic functions on K. In addition, if  $a \in K$  and  $g \in C(K)$ , let  $g_a$  denote the function  $x \mapsto g(xa) : K \to \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\phi} : C(H) \to C(G)$  denote the mapping induced by  $\phi$ :

$$\widetilde{\phi}(f)$$
: =  $f \circ \phi$  ( $f \in C(H)$ ).

Then, for all a  $\epsilon$  G and f  $\epsilon$  C(H), we have  $[\widetilde{\phi}(f)]_a = \widetilde{\phi}(f_{\phi(a)})$ . Since  $\phi$  is a surjection, this implies that for every f  $\epsilon$  C(H) the equality

$$\{f_b \mid b \in H\} = \widetilde{\phi}^{-1} \{[\widetilde{\phi}(f)]_a \mid a \in G\}$$

holds. Now  $\widetilde{\phi}$  is an isometrical isomorphism from C(H) onto a closed subalgebra of C(G). Hence  $\{f_b \mid b \in H\}$  is totally bounded in C(H) if and only if  $\{\widetilde{\phi}(f)_a \mid a \in G\}$  is totally bounded in C(G). Thus, if  $f \in C(H)$ , then  $f \in A(H)$  if and only if  $\widetilde{\phi}(f) \in A(G)$ .

In general only the "only if" part of this statement is usefull, but in this case A(G) = C(G), that is, for all  $f \in C(H)$  we have  $\widetilde{\phi}(f) \in A(G)$ , hence  $f \in A(H)$ . This means, that A(H) = C(H).

In [3], 4.21(d), (e) a class of 0-dimensional groups is described which are all totally bounded. We shall show now, that all 0-dimensional S-groups belong to this class.

4.11 PROPOSITION. If G is a 0-dimensional S-group, then there is an open basis at the identity e consisting of open normal subgroups of G with finite index.

PROOF. If G is 0-dimensional, then  $\beta G$  is 0-dimensional (cf [2],  $\delta L$ ). Now let U be an arbitrary neighbourhood of e in G, say U = U<sub>0</sub>  $\cap$  G, with U<sub>0</sub> a neighbourhood of e in  $\beta G$ . Then, by [3], 7.7, U<sub>0</sub> contains an open

normal subgroup  $N_0$  of  $\beta G$ , and U contains the open normal subgroup  $N_0$  of G. Since G is totally bounded by 2.3, this open subgroup of G must have a finite index in G.

4.12 EXAMPLE. Let A be an uncountable set and, for all  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $K_{\alpha}$  be the multiplicative discrete group  $\{-1,1\}$ . By 3.3, the group  $G:=\sum_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$  is an abelian S-group. An open basis at the identity is

formed by the collection of all sets

$$H_B := \{x \mid x \in G \& x_\alpha = 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in B\}$$

with B a finite subset of A. Then it is easy to see that for every finite subset B of A,  $H_B$  is an open normal subgroup of G with finite index (the index of  $H_B$  in G equals the number of elements in B).

4.13 PROPOSITION. If G is a 0-dimensional S-group and H a closed normal subgroup of G, then G/H is a 0-dimensional topological group.

PROOF. (Compare [3], 7.11). Let B denote an open basis at the identity e of G, and let  $q: G \to G/H$  denote the quotient mapping of G onto G/H. Then  $\{q(U) \mid U \in B\}$  is an open basis at q(e) in G/H. By 4.11 we are allowed to suppose that every  $U \in B$  is an open normal subgroup of G. Hence, for each  $U \in B$ , q(U) is an open normal subgroup of G/H. Since open subgroups of a topological group (i.c. G/H) are closed, we have proved that there is an open basis at q(e) consisting of open-and-closed subsets.

- 4.14 PROPOSITION. Let G be an S-group. The following are equivalent:
  - (i) G is connected.
  - (ii) G has no proper open subgroups.
  - (iii) For every neighbourhood U of the identity e in G we have  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U^n = G$ .

PROOF (Compare [3], 7.9). The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) are generally valid. We prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

Suppose G is not connected. Then  $\beta G$  is not connected ([2],  $\delta L$  1). Since  $\beta G$  is a compact topological group, the connected component of the identity in  $\beta G$  is the intersection of all open subgroups of  $\beta G$  (c.f [3], 7.8). Hence there is an open subgroup H in  $\beta G$  such that H  $\ddagger \beta G$ . Then H  $\cap$  G is an open subgroup of G, and H  $\cap$  G  $\ddagger$  G: if H  $\cap$  G = G, then  $G \subseteq H$ , hence H =  $\beta G$  because H is closed in  $\beta G$  and G is dense in  $\beta G$ , contradicting the fact that H  $\ddagger \beta G$ . Thus (ii)  $\Longrightarrow$  (i).

4.15 EXAMPLE. There do exist connected S-groups. Let A be an uncountable set, and let, for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $K_{\alpha}$  be a connected compact topological group.

Then  $G:=\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$  is connected (the proof that a cartesian product of connected spaces is connected works also for  $\sum\limits_{\alpha\in A}K_{\alpha}$ ). Hence G is a connected S-group. If, in addition, every  $K_{\alpha}$  is locally connected, then G is connected and locally connected. Finally, if K is a compact, locally connected, but non-connected group,  $G\times K$  is a locally connected, non-connected S-group (c.f. 4.9).

4.16 REMARK. Let G be an S-group and let C be the connected component of the identity in G. We prove: if G/C is O-dimensional, then

(\*) 
$$C = \bigcap \{H \mid H \text{ is an open subgroup of G}\}.$$

Since trivially C is contained in every open subgroup H of G, we prove that  $C \supseteq \bigcap \{H \mid H \text{ is an open subgroup of G}\}$ . Take  $x \in G\setminus C$ . Then the canonical image  $\widetilde{x}$  of x in G/C is different from  $\widetilde{e}$ , hence by 4.11 (if G/C is an S-group) or [3], 7.7 (if G/C is compact) there is an open subgroup  $\widetilde{H}$  in G/C such that  $\widetilde{x} \notin \widetilde{H}$ . Consequently, there is an open subgroup  $\widetilde{H}$  in G/C with  $C \subseteq H$  and  $C \notin H$ .

Notice, that there exist S-groups G such that G/C is non-trivial and O-dimensional and G is not: if G is a locally connected, non-connected S-group, then C is an open subgroup in G and G/C is discrete and non-trivial (but finite, since C has finite index in G). However, in this example, (\*) is trivially valid.

<u>Problem</u>: If G is an S-group and C is the connected component of the identity of G, has G/C to be 0-dimensional? Equivalently: is every totally disconnected S-group 0-dimensional? If not, is (\*) equivalent with the fact that G/C is 0-dimensional?

#### REFERENCES.

- [1]. Glicksberg, I., Stone-Čech compactifications of products,

  <u>Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90</u> (1959), 369-382.
- [2]. Gillman, L., and Jerison, M., Rings of Continuous Functions, Princeton, 1960.
- [3]. Hewitt, E. and Ross, K.A., <u>Abstract Harmonic Analysis</u>, Vol.I, II, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1963.
- [4]. Holm, P., On the Bohr Compactification, Math. Annalen 156 (1964), 34-46.
- [5]. Kister, J.M., Uniform continuity and compactness in topological groups, <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.</u> 13 (1962), 37-40.
- [6]. de Vries, J., Topological groups which do not have the PETER WEYL property. To appear.